In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 13-53V Filed: February 26, 2014 Not for publication

MILLMAN, Special Master

master shall redact such material from public access.

DECISION AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS¹

On February 24, 2014, petitioner filed an application for attorneys' fees and costs. Petitioner requests \$8,930.61 in attorneys' fees and costs, consisting of \$7,177.50 in attorneys' fees and \$753.11 in attorneys' costs. In accordance with General Order #9, petitioner filed a statement asserting that he incurred no out-of-pocket costs in pursuit of his petition.

On February 25, 2014, respondent's counsel informed the undersigned's law clerk that respondent does not object to petitioner's request for attorneys' fees and costs. The undersigned

¹ Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the special master's action in this case, the special master intends to post this unpublished decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims's website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (Dec. 17, 2002). Vaccine Rule 18(b) states that all decisions of the special masters will be made available to the public unless they contain trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged and confidential, or medical or similar information whose disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy. When such a decision is filed, petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact such information prior to the document's disclosure. If the special master, upon review, agrees that the identified material fits within the banned categories listed above, the special

finds petitioner's request to be reasonable. Accordingly, the court awards **\$8,930.61**, representing reimbursement for attorneys' fees and costs. The award shall be in the form of a check payable jointly to petitioner and McDowell & Drew, Ltd. in the amount of **\$8,930.61**.

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment herewith.²

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 26, 2014

/s/ Laura D. Millman Laura D. Millman Special Master

² Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by each party, either separately or jointly, filing a notice renouncing the right to seek review.